


# Daytona Beach Police Department

## Office of Professional Standards

TO: Jeffrey Owens, Accreditation Specialist

FROM: Sergeant James Chirco, Office of Professional Standards 

SUBJECT: **Accreditation Standard 4.12**

DATE: January 11, 2017

Attached you will find a summary of the tasks performed by the Office of Professional Standards in reference to use of force incidents and a 2016 year end analysis of use of force statistics.



C. A. Capri

01/13/17

JO/jc

# Office of Professional Standards

2016 Use of Force Report



**Staff**

Sergeant James Chirco

Detective Thomas Monaco

## **Mission Statement**

The mission of the Daytona Beach Police Department is to achieve a safe, crime free community by committing ourselves to protecting, defending and serving the residents and the visitors of Daytona Beach. Through a partnership with residents and businesses, we will maintain an open and honest dialogue and will endeavor to enforce the law fairly and equally. As proud members of the law enforcement profession, we will constantly strive to conduct ourselves in a manner worthy of respect while “Protecting Our Great City.”

## **Office of Professional Standards**

### **Purpose**

The Office of Professional Standards (OPS) is charged with preserving the integrity of the Daytona Beach Police Department (DBPD). Organizationally, OPS is part of the Office of the Chief and serves at the discretion and authority of Chief Capri.

### **Tasks**

The detectives assigned to OPS carryout many functions that ensure DBPD operates as a professional and transparent law enforcement agency. One of the tasks undertaken is the monthly and yearly review and analysis of all uses of force occurring Department wide.

The Office of Professional Standards and the Training Division work together to identify training deficiencies to ensure proper early intervention and to also identify possibilities of excessive force. Together we also ensure that Departmental Standards Directives and State Law were followed. In instances where policies may not be followed in whole, training initiatives are instituted to get the officers back on track and in compliance with directives. The following attached documentation breaks down all types of force used in the year 2016 by officers of the Daytona Beach Police Department.

## Profile of Use-of-force Incidents received Between Jan 1, 2016 and Dec 31, 2016

Total number of use-of-force incidents: 122

Total number of officers involved: 202

## Type of force tally:

Type of force	Effective		Not effective		Total #
	#	%	#	%	
Chemical Agent	1	100%	0	0%	1
Firearm	5	71%	2	29%	7
Handcuff	21	91%	2	9%	23
Hands/Feet	48	77%	14	23%	62
K-9 Bite	11	100%	0	0%	11
Other	7	50%	7	50%	14
Restraints	6	75%	2	25%	8
Take Down Application	36	86%	6	14%	42
Taser-Probe Shot	8	33%	16	67%	24
Taser-Stun Mode	4	40%	6	60%	10
Vehicle Extraction	2	100%	0	0%	2

## Citizen resistance tally:

Reason	Count	Percent of total
: Active Physical	53	32%
Aggravated Physical	2	1%
Aggressive Physical	15	9%
Assaulting Officer	11	7%
Baker Act	4	2%
Combative	14	8%
Non-Compliant arrestee	28	17%
Other (Explain in narrat	23	14%
Psycholog Intimidation	1	1%
Suicide Attempt	1	1%
Verbal Non-Compliance	14	8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>166</b>	

## Citizen condition tally:

Condition or injury	Count	Percent of total
: Abdomen Injury	4	3%
Abrasions	1	1%
Ankle Injury	3	2%
Arm Injury	15	10%
Back Injury	11	8%
brusing to face	1	1%

Buttocks Injury	1	1%
chemical agent to the eye	1	1%
Chest Injury	1	1%
Elbow Injury	1	1%
EMS Respond and Transport	1	1%
EMS Responded declined Aide	2	1%
Facial Injury	18	12%
First Aid Only	2	1%
Foot Injury	2	1%
Hand Injury	5	3%
Head Injury	12	8%
K-9 Bite Wounds	10	7%
Knee Injury	18	12%
Lacerations	1	1%
Left shoulder abrasion	1	1%
Leg Injury	2	1%
Mental Health Issue	1	1%
Neck Injury	3	2%
No injuries noted or visible	12	8%
Pre-existing Injury	4	3%
Scratch	1	1%
Self Inflicted Injury	2	1%
Shoulder	1	1%
Signature Marks	1	1%
Stomach	1	1%
Stomach pain	1	1%
Taser Deployment	1	1%
Taser Probe	3	2%
Taser Probe Puncture	1	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>145</b>	

**Citizen arrested in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):**

	<b>Count</b>	<b>Percent of total</b>
:		
No	23	19%
Yes	99	81%

Total 122

Tally of charges against involved citizens::

Charge total :	Count	Percent of
Affray	1	1%
Aggravated Assault	1	1%
Aggravatted Battery	1	1%
Assault	1	1%
Assault on LEO	4	2%
Battery	3	2%
Battery on LEO	18	9%
Burglary	2	1%
Child Abuse	1	1%
Criminal Mischief	2	1%
Disorderly Conduct	8	4%
Domestic Battery	3	2%
Grand Theft	1	1%
Grand Theft Auto	6	3%
Misc Misdomeanor	4	2%
Miscellaneous Felony	19	10%
Miscellaneous Misdemeanor	10	5%
Narcotics Charge	5	3%
Possession of Controlled Substances	5	3%
Possession of Marijuana	5	3%
Possession with intent to distribute	3	2%
Resisting Arrest with Violence	23	12%
Resisting Arrest without violence	39	20%
Retail Theft	2	1%
Theft	1	1%
Traffic Felony	6	3%
Traffic Violations	5	3%
Trespass	7	4%
Violation of Probation	5	3%
Warrant	8	4%

Total 199

Citizen was injured in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):

	Count	Percent of total
:		
No	33	27%
Yes	89	73%
Total	122	

Citizen taken to hospital in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):

	Count	Percent of total
:		
No	75	61%
Yes	47	39%
Total	122	

Officer injuries tally:

Condition	Count	Percent of total
:		
Arm Injury	3	3%
Chest Injury	1	1%
Facial Injury	2	2%
Hair Pulled Out	1	1%
Hand Injury	14	15%
Head Injury	2	2%
Knee Injury	9	10%
Laceration Behind Ear	1	1%
Left Shoulder	2	2%
Leg Injury	2	2%
Neck Injury	1	1%
No injuries noted or visible	50	55%
scrap from bite attempt	1	1%
Shoulder	1	1%
Spit On	1	1%
Total	91	

Officer injured in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):

	Count	Percent of total
:		

No	97	80%
Yes	25	20%
<b>Total</b>	<b>122</b>	

**Officer taken to hospital in conjunction with use-of-force (# incidents):**

	Count	Percent of total
No	113	93%
Yes	9	7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>122</b>	

**Reason for use-of-force:**

Reason	Count	Percent of total
Active Physical Resistance	27	22%
Aggressive Physical Resist	13	11%
Animal	1	1%
Assaulting Officer	6	5%
Baker Act	5	4%
Combative Subject	3	2%
Crowd Control	1	1%
Effecting Arrest	19	16%
Escape attempt	1	1%
Miscellaneous Felony	6	5%
Noncompliant arestee	5	4%
Other (Explain in narrative)	20	16%
Resisting Arrest	15	12%
<b>Total</b>	<b>122</b>	

**Type of service being rendered at time of use-of-force:**

Service type	Count	Percent of total
Arrest-Multiple	2	2%
Arrest-On View	28	23%
Arrest-Other	5	4%
Arrest-Warrant Service	6	5%
Baker Act	9	7%
Call for Service- Misd	2	2%

Call for Service-Disturb	9	7%
Call for Service-Domesti	6	5%
Call for Service-Felony	5	4%
Crash Investigation	2	2%
Crime in Progress	1	1%
Deployment-Mutual Aid	1	1%
Deployment-S.W.A.T.	1	1%
Drug Investigation	1	1%
Field Contact-On View	1	1%
Follow Up Investigation	1	1%
Investigation-Routine	3	2%
K-9 Track	9	7%
L & P/Trespass	3	2%
Marchman Act	2	2%
Narcotics Complaint	1	1%
None	2	2%
Off Duty Incident	1	1%
Outside Detail	2	2%
Post-Walking Beat	1	1%
Prisoner Transport	3	2%
Pursuit-Foot	2	2%
Search Warrant	1	1%
Self-Initiated	1	1%
Traffic Enforcement	1	1%
Trespassing	8	7%
Weapons Complaint	2	2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>122</b>	

Average age of involved Citizens:32

Report date range criteria: Incidents received Between Jan 1, 2016 and Dec 31, 2016

Report name: Use of Force stats

Report run on: Jan 11, 2017 at 12:36 by Sergeant James Chirco